

Decisions by Vote Split<sup>1</sup>

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0, 4-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
52 (54%)	10 (10%)	14 (15%)	20 (21%)
<i>Lamar Central Outdoor, Inc. v. Board of Zoning Appeals</i>	<i>Gottsacker v. Monnier</i>	<i>Chen v. Warner</i>	<i>State v. Knapp</i>
<i>Mrozek v. Intra Fin. Corp.</i>	<i>Walters v. Nat'l Props., L.L.C.</i>	<i>Kohn v. Darlington Cmty. Schs.</i>	<i>State v. Stuart</i>
<i>James Cape &amp; Sons Co. v. Mulcahy</i>	<i>State v. Smith</i>	<i>Everson v. Lorenz</i>	<i>State v. Armstrong</i>
<i>Meriter Hosp. v. Dane County</i>	<i>State v. Ernst</i>	<i>Preston v. Meriter Hosp., Inc.</i>	<i>State v. Jerrell C.J.</i>
<i>Milwaukee Metro. Sewerage Dist. v. City of Milwaukee</i>	<i>Dane County v. McGrew</i>	<i>Menard, Inc. v. Liteway Lighting Prods.</i>	<i>Gehin v. Wis. Group Ins. Bd.</i>
<i>Hatleberg v. Norwest Bank Wis.</i>	<i>Atkins v. Swimwest Family Fitness Ctr.</i>	<i>Solie v. Employee Trust Funds Bd.</i>	<i>Hempel v. City of Baraboo</i>
<i>Mared Indus. v. Mansfield</i>	<i>Progressive Northern v. Romanshek</i>	<i>Steiner v. Wis. Am. Mut. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Grant County Dep't of Social Servs. v. Unified Bd. of Grant &amp; Iowa Counties</i>
<i>State v. Manuel</i>	<i>Wischer v. Mitsubishi Heavy Indus. (5-1)</i>	<i>State v. Love</i>	<i>Ferdon v. Wis. Patients Comp. Fund</i>
<i>Sukala v. Heritage Mut. Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Hess v. Fernandez (5-1)</i>	<i>Dane County Dep't of Human Servs. v. Ponn P.</i>	<i>State v. Sykes</i>
<i>State v. Denis L.R.</i>	<i>Hannemann v. Boyson (5-1)</i>	<i>State v. Moeck (4-2)</i>	<i>State v. Tucker</i>
<i>Wisconsin v. Hale</i>		<i>Phelps v. Physicians Ins. Co. of Wis., Inc. (4-2)</i>	<i>State v. Brown</i>
<i>State v. Moran</i>		<i>Grams v. Milk Prods., Inc. (4-2)</i>	<i>State v. Trujillo</i>
<i>Petta v. ABC Ins. Co.</i>		<i>Thomas v. Mallett (4-2)</i>	<i>Carney-Hayes v. Northwest Wis. Home Care</i>
<i>Ins. Co. of N. Am. v. Cease Elec. Inc.</i>		<i>Clean Wis. v. Public Serv. Comm. (4-2)</i>	<i>State v. Dubose</i>
<i>Badger State Bank v. Taylor</i>			<i>State v. Schwarz</i>
<i>State ex rel. Riesch v. Schwarz</i>			<i>Lagerstrom v. Myrtle Werth Hosp.-Mayo Health Sys.</i>
<i>City of Pewaukee v. Carter</i>			<i>State v. Michael S.</i>
<i>Howell v. Denomie</i>			<i>Linden v. Cascade Stone Co.</i>
<i>Peterson v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i>			<i>Sheboygan County Dep't of Soc. Servs. v. Matthew S.</i>
<i>Kaloti Enters. v. Kellogg Sales Co.</i>			<i>State v. Stenklyft</i>

(continued on following page)

<sup>1</sup> In seven cases (not counting *State v. Stenklyft*) there were justices who concurred in part and dissented in part (*Jerrell C.J.* [Prosser, Roggensack, Wilcox]; *Phelps* [Prosser, Roggensack]; *Grant County* [Roggensack, Wilcox, Crooks]; *Smith* [Butler]; *Ernst* [Wilcox]; *Carney-Hayes* [Abrahamson, Bradley, Butler]; *Lagerstrom* [Wilcox, Prosser, Roggensack]). For this table, and those to come, each of these votes was categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, the votes in the seven cases listed above have been classified as dissents. In one or two instances the dividing line between a dissent and a concurrence was rather thin, and views might reasonably differ as to the opinion's proper classification. However, such ambiguous opinions amount to a minuscule fraction of the hundreds of votes cast, and thus they have a negligible effect on the tables presented here. In *Stenklyft*, as noted above, Justices Abrahamson, Bradley, and Butler joined a concurrence/dissent written by Justice Crooks—and Justices Bradley, Butler, and Crooks joined a concurrence/dissent written by Justice Abrahamson, thereby forming what amounted to a majority.

<i>State v. DeLain</i>
<i>John Doe 67C v. Archdiocese of Milwaukee</i>
<i>State v. Anson</i>
<i>Mayberry v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Reed</i>
<i>Kruckenbergh v. Harvey</i>
<i>Schilling v. State Crime Victims Rights Bd.</i>
<i>Barbara B. v. Dorian H. (In re John R. B.)</i>
<i>Anderson v. MSI Preferred Ins. Co.</i>
<i>Vill. of Hobart v. Brown County</i>
<i>Walberg v. St. Francis Home, Inc.</i>
<i>State v. Maloney</i>
<i>Osterhues v. Bd. of Adjustment</i>
<i>State v. Bush (In re Bush)</i>
<i>State v. Aufderhaar</i>
<i>Marine Bank v. Taz's Trucking, Inc.</i>
<i>Milwaukee Police Ass'n, Local 21 v. Hegerty</i>
<i>State v. Anderson</i>
<i>State v. James P.</i>
<i>State v. Raye</i>
<i>State ex rel. Doe v. Davis</i>
<i>Pierce v. Physicians Ins. Co. of Wis., Inc. (6-0)</i>
<i>Baumeister v. Automated Prods., Inc. (6-0)</i>
<i>Kenyon v. Kenyon (6-0)</i>
<i>Pitts v. Trust of Knueppel (6-0)</i>
<i>State v. Fonte (6-0)</i>
<i>Strenke v. Hogner (6-0)</i>
<i>Olstad v. Microsoft Corp. (5-0)</i>
<i>State v. Wagner (7-0 per curiam)</i>
<i>Ammerman v. Hauden (6-0 per curiam)</i>
<i>State v. Jensen (4-0 per curiam)</i>
<i>State v. Chvala (4-0 per curiam)</i>