Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 6-0, 5-0, 4-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
43 (53%)	8 (10%)	18 (22%)	12 (15%)
State v. Schulpius	State v. Mark	Wis. Auto Title Loans, Inc. v. Jones	Dairyland Greyhound Park v. Doyle
Orion Flight Servs. v. Basler Flight Serv.	State v. Tiepelman	All Star Rent a Car, Inc. v. Wis. DOT	Haferman v. St. Clare Healthcare Found., Inc.
Pinczkowski v. Milwaukee County	Drinkwater v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co.	State v. Roberson	Royster-Clark, Inc. v. Olsen's Mill, Inc.
State v. Maloney	Hanson v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co.	Warehouse II, LLC v. State DOT	State v. Young
Steinbach v. Green Lake Sanitary Dist.	Sonday v. Dave Kohel Agency, Inc.	Northwest Airlines, Inc. v. Wis. Dep't of Revenue	1325 N. Van Buren, LLC v. T-3 Group, Ltd.
Shaw v. Leatherberry	Sauk County v. Aaron J. J. (6-1 per curiam)	Burbank Grease Servs., LLC v. Sokolowski	Brown County v. Shannon R.
Landwehr v. Landwehr	Kontowicz v. Am. Std. Ins. Co. (5-1)	Rebernick v. Wausau Gen. Ins. Co.	State v. Brockdorf
Racine Harley-Davidson, Inc. v. State Div. of Hearings & Appeals	Rainbow Country Rentals v. Ameritech Publ (5-1)	State v. Shomberg	Butler v. Advanced Drainage Sys.
State v. Brown		State v. Campbell	State v. Smith
State v. Kelty		State v. Payano-Roman	Bartholomew v. Wis. Patients Comp. Fund
Hilton v. Dep't of Natural Res.		Yorgan v. Durkin	State v. Fisher
Teschendorf v. State Farm Ins. Cos.		State v. Marquardt	State v. Bonds
Huml v. Vlazny		State v. Anderson	
Fazio v. Dep't of Emple. Trust Funds		Spiegelberg v. State	
Zastrow v. Journal Communs., Inc.		Adams Outdoor Adver., Ltd. v. City of Madison	
Rocker v. USAA Cas. Ins.		Jackson County v. State Dep't of Natural Res.	
State ex rel. Coleman v. McCaughtry		Hoida, Inc. v. M&I Midstate Bank (4-2)	
Progressive N. Ins. Co. v. Hall		Kenosha County Dep't of Human Servs. v. Jodie W. (4- 2)	
Robin K. v. Lamanda M.			
State v. Taylor			
(continued on following nage)			

(continued on following page)

¹ In eight cases there were justices who concurred in part and dissented in part (*Dairyland* [Prosser, Roggensack, Wilcox]; Mark [Butler]; Young [Butler]; Campbell [Abrahamson, Butler]; Marquardt [Abrahamson, Butler]; Bartholomew [Prosser, Roggensack, Wilcox, Prosser]). For this table, and those that follow, each of these votes was categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence. Accordingly, the votes in the eight cases listed above have been classified as dissents. In one or two instances the dividing line between a dissent and a concurrence was rather thin, and views might reasonably differ as to the opinion's proper classification. However, such ambiguous opinions amount to a minuscule fraction of the hundreds of votes cast, and thus they have a negligible effect on the tables presented here.

Mair v. Trollhaugen Ski Resort Maciolek v. City of Milwaukee Employees' Ret. Sys. Annuity & Pension Bd. Vieau v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co. State v. Booker Welin v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co. Megal Dev. Corp. v. Shadof Richards v. First Union Sec., Inc. Borst v. Allstate Ins. Co. First Am. Title Ins. Co. v. Dahlmann State v. Robert K. Affordable Erecting, Inc. v. Neosho Trompler, Inc. State v. Walker State v. Hibl Mueller v. McMillan Warner Ins. Co. Wis. Mall Props., LLC v. Younkers, Inc. Dominic J. Anderson v. Board of Bar Examiners (7-0 per curiam) LaCount v. General Cas. Co. (6-0) AKG Real Estate, LLC v. Kosterman (6-0) State v. Beyer (6-0) Vill. of Cross Plains v. Haanstad (6-0)Metro. Ventures, LLC v. GEA Assocs.I (6-0) Marder v. Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Wis. Sys. (5-0)

Lassa v. Rongstad (4-0)