

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 5-0, 6-0)	6-1	5-2	4-3
44/70 (63%)	13/70 (19%)	8/70 (11%)	5/70 (7%)
<i>Schlomer v. Perina</i>	<i>School Dist. of Shorewood v. Wausau Ins. Cos.</i>	<i>State v. Mitchell</i>	<i>Jones v. Milwaukee County</i>
<i>Jicha v. State Dep't of Industry, Labor & Human Rights Div.</i>	<i>Elliott v. Donahue</i>	<i>Anderson v. Wis. Dep't of Revenue</i>	<i>Davis v. Grover</i>
<i>State v. Baker</i>	<i>Carrington v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.</i>	<i>Browne v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Com.</i>	<i>St. Paul Mercury Ins. Co. v. Zastrow</i>
<i>State v. Williams</i>	<i>State v. Comstock</i>	<i>State v. Saucedo</i>	<i>Manitowoc County v. Local 986B, AFSCME (4-3 per curiam)</i>
<i>Miller v. Bristol-Myers Co.</i>	<i>Tempelis v. Aetna Casualty & Surety Co.</i>	<i>Hudson v. Janesville Conservation Club</i>	<i>McCarthy v. Elections Bd. (4-3 per curiam)</i>
<i>In Interest of M.D.(S)</i>	<i>State v. Annala</i>	<i>State v. Guzman</i>	
<i>Fischer v. Ganju</i>	<i>State v. Better Brite Plating</i>	<i>State v. Anderson</i>	
<i>State v. Hopkins</i>	<i>Winkelman v. Beloit Memorial Hosp.</i>	<i>State ex rel. Richards v. Foust</i>	
<i>Ulrich v. Cornell</i>	<i>Gouger v. Hardtke</i>		
<i>Ramsey v. Ellis</i>	<i>In re Guardianship of L.W.</i>		
<i>State v. Knight</i>	<i>Dieck v. Unified School Dist.</i>		
<i>State v. Borrell</i>	<i>Sauk County v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Com.</i>		
<i>Le Fevre v. Schrieber</i>	<i>Larsen v. Munz Corp.(6-1 per curiam)</i>		
<i>State ex rel. Delavan v. Circuit Court for Walworth County</i>			
<i>State v. Mitchell</i>			
<i>State v. Gesch</i>			
<i>Piper v. Popp</i>			
<i>Vorwald v. School Dist. of River Falls</i>			
<i>State v. Moore</i>			
<i>Muskego v. Godec</i>			
<i>American Family Mut. Ins. Co. v. Royal Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Steenberg v. Oakfield</i>			
<i>Kashishian v. Port</i>			
<i>Casteel v. Vaade</i>			
<i>Demmith v. Wisconsin Judicial Conference</i>			
<i>Brandt v. Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

