

Decisions by Vote Split¹

7-0 (or 5-0, 6-0)	6-1 (or 5-1)	5-2 (or 4-2)	4-3
101/133 (76%)	9/133 (7%)	10/133 (8%)	13/133 (10%)
<i>State ex rel. Dombrowski v. Moser</i>	<i>State v. Hegwood</i>	<i>State v. Brooks</i>	<i>State v. Williamson</i>
<i>League of Women Voters, Inc. v. Outagamie County</i>	<i>Robertson-Ryan & Associates, Inc. v. Pohlhammer</i>	<i>Noranda Exploration, Inc. v. Ostrom</i>	<i>In Interest of Baby Girl K.</i>
<i>Pleasant Prairie v. Department of Local Affairs & Dev.</i>	<i>Handicapped Children's Education Bd. v. Lukaszewski</i>	<i>State v. Burns</i>	<i>State v. Smith</i>
<i>State v. McConnohie</i>	<i>In re Estate of Devroy</i>	<i>State v. Excel Management Services, Inc.</i>	<i>State v. Lomagro</i>
<i>Citizens State Bank v. Timm, Schmidt & Co.</i>	<i>Crown Life Ins. Co. v. La Bonte (5-1)</i>	<i>Burg v. Miniature Precision Components, Inc.</i>	<i>Harris v. Metropolitan Mall</i>
<i>Amek Bin-Rilla v. Israel</i>	<i>State v. Giwosky (5-1)</i>	<i>Schmid v. Olsen</i>	<i>Millonig v. Bakken</i>
<i>Foley v. West Allis</i>	<i>State v. Monje (5-1)</i>	<i>State v. Stubbendick</i>	<i>Madison v. Fitchburg</i>
<i>State ex rel. Green Bay Newspaper Co. v. Circuit Court, Branch 1</i>	<i>Corroon & Black-Rutters & Roberts, Inc. v. Hosch (5-1)</i>	<i>Van Gorder v. Van Gorder</i>	<i>Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue v. Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club</i>
<i>State Higher Educational Aids Bd. v. Hervey</i>	<i>Star Line Trucking Corp. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations (5-1)</i>	<i>La Fleur v. Mosher</i>	<i>State v. Gavigan</i>
<i>State v. Macemon</i>		<i>Barrera v. State (4-2)</i>	<i>State v. Gordon</i>
<i>State v. Marshall</i>			<i>State v. Billings</i>
<i>Scarpace v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</i>			<i>State v. Cuyler</i>
<i>First Wisconsin Nat'l Bank v. Nicolaou</i>			<i>Groh v. Groh</i>
<i>Hansen v. A.H. Robins, Inc.</i>			
<i>Brockmeyer v. Dun & Bradstreet</i>			
<i>United Farm Agency, Inc. v. Klasen</i>			
<i>Bersch v. Van Kleeck</i>			
<i>Beane v. Sturgeon Bay</i>			
<i>Nielsen v. Silver Cliff</i>			
<i>State v. Burton</i>			
<i>Fox v. Wisconsin Dep't of Health & Social Services</i>			
<i>In Interest of E.J.H.</i>			
<i>State v. Bartelt</i>			
<i>Zinn v. State</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Dep't of Revenue v. Greiling</i>			
<i>Bank of Two Rivers v. Zimmer</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Bilder v. Delavan</i>			

(continued on following page)

¹ As the result of rounding, the percentages do not add up to one hundred. In few instances, a justice authored a separate opinion that concurred in part and dissented in part. For this and other tables, each such vote has been categorized as either a dissent or a concurrence according to the following guidelines. If a justice's opinion dissented from the result on one or more issues, it was classified as a dissent. If the opinion concurred with the result on all issues but disputed the majority's reasoning on one or more issues, it was classified as a concurrence.

<i>State ex rel. Skowronski v. Mjelde</i>			
<i>Krueger v. Mitchell</i>			
<i>State v. Riekkoff</i>			
<i>In Interest of S.</i>			
<i>State v. White</i>			
<i>State v. Popanz</i>			
<i>State v. Gaudesi</i>			
<i>J.V. v. Barron</i>			
<i>In re Estate of Omernik</i>			
<i>Gauerke v. Rozga</i>			
<i>Lemon v. Federal Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Cannon v. Moran</i>			
<i>State v. Holland Plastics Co.</i>			
<i>Auric v. Continental Casualty Co.</i>			
<i>Giese v. Montgomery Ward, Inc.</i>			
<i>Strid v. Converse</i>			
<i>Jackson v. Ozaukee County</i>			
<i>State v. Stone</i>			
<i>Anderson v. State Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>			
<i>Arnold v. Shawano County Agricultural Soc.</i>			
<i>In re B.</i>			
<i>Le Poidevin v. Wilson</i>			
<i>Tri-State Home Improv. Co. v. Labor & Industry Review Com.</i>			
<i>Princess House, Inc. v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations</i>			
<i>County of Walworth v. Spalding</i>			
<i>Marina Fontana v. Fontana-on-Geneva Lake</i>			
<i>Landwehr v. Citizens Trust Co.</i>			
<i>State ex rel. Hubbard v. Hubbard</i>			
<i>State v. Armstrong</i>			
<i>State v. Jackson</i>			
<i>State v. Christensen</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Electric Power Co. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>Mutual Service Casualty Ins. Co. v. Koenigs</i>			
<i>Bankert v. Threshermen's Mut. Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Kimberly-Clark Corp. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>D.L. v. Huebner</i>			
<i>In re Petition of Wisconsin Electric Power Co. etc.</i>			
<i>In re In Interest of D.L.D.</i>			
<i>Sun Prairie v. Storms</i>			
<i>State v. Twaite</i>			
<i>Tesky v. Tesky</i>			

(continued on following page)

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, 1982-1983

<i>State ex rel. Thompson v. Riveland</i>			
<i>S.D.R. v. State (In re S.D.R.)</i>			
<i>Sandy v. Sandy</i>			
<i>Pigeon v. Department of Industry, Labor & Human Relations</i>			
<i>Stappas v. Kagen</i>			
<i>In Interest of TDP</i>			
<i>Tamminen v. Aetna Casualty & Surety Co.</i>			
<i>State v. Gilbert</i>			
<i>Hedtcke v. Sentry Ins. Co.</i>			
<i>Madison Gas & Electric Co. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>State v. Walberg</i>			
<i>Wisconsin Public Service Corp. v. Public Service Com.</i>			
<i>State v. Bauer</i>			
<i>Shuput v. Lauer</i>			
<i>County of Walworth v. Rohner</i>			
<i>After Hour Welding, Inc. v. Laneil Management Co.</i>			
<i>De Pratt v. West Bend Mut. Ins. Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>State Historical Soc. v. Maple Bluff (6-0)</i>			
<i>Thorp Sales Corp. v. Gyuro Grading Co. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Wassenaar v. Panos (6-0)</i>			
<i>In re Liquidation of Wisconsin Surety Corp. (6-0)</i>			
<i>Department of Transp. v. Transportation Com. (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Felton (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Lemieux (6-0)</i>			
<i>Pfeiffer v. Board of Regents (6-0)</i>			
<i>Westfall v. Kottke (6-0)</i>			
<i>In re All-Star Ins. Corp. (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Sharlow (6-0)</i>			
<i>Radoff v. Red Owl Stores, Inc. (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Fencl (6-0)</i>			
<i>In the Interest of T.R.B. (6-0)</i>			
<i>State v. Alsteen (6-0)</i>			
<i>Guthrie v. Wisconsin Employment Relations Com. (5-0)</i>			